1FW

PTO/SB/21 (09-04) Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE e Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. **Application Number** 10/771,761 TRANSMITTAL Filing Date February 4, 2004 First Named Inventor **FORM** Pitbladdo Art Unit 1731 **Examiner Name** Vincent (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) Attorney Docket Nur

Tota	al Number of	f Pages in This Submission	4	Allon	ney Docket	Number	PIT-8				
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)											
	Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached  Amendment/Reply After Final Affidavits/declaration(s)  Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement  Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts			Petition Petition Petition Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence A Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Landscape Table on CD Remarks			on Address	After Allowance Communication to TC  Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences  Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)  Proprietary Information  Status Letter  Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below): Post Card Statement of the Substance of the Interview			
		nder 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	TUDE	<u> </u>		- 477/		-5.40			
Firm N	lome.	JIGNA	TUKE	UF AF	PLICANI	, Allu	DRNEY, C	JK AG	ENI		
FIIII	allie		BROWN & MICHAELS, PC								
Signati	ure	med	25								
Printed	d name	Meghan A. Van Leei	uwen								
Date		December 22, 2004					Reg. No.	45,61	12		
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING											
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:											
Signature H A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A											

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Theresa M. Rimbey

Typed or printed name

Date

December 22, 2004



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

December 22, 2004

Serial No.

10/771,761

Applicant:

Pitbladdo

Filed:

February 4, 2004

Title:

SHEET GLASS FORMING APPARATUS

Art Unit:

1731

Examiner:

Vincent

Confirmation Number:

3657

Attorney Docket No.:

PIT-8

HONORABLE COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW

The Applicant's agent, Meghan Van Leeuwen, had a telephone interview with the Examiner, Sean Vincent, on December 8, 2004.

There were no exhibits shown or demonstrations exhibited during the telephonic interview.

All of the pending claims were discussed in the interview. Kokai and Cortright, prior art of record, were discussed during the interview.

The Applicant's agent stated that she had not yet filed an Information Disclosure Statement, but was planning on doing so on that date (December 8, 2004). The Examiner stated that he already copied all of the Information Disclosure Statements from the parent case (Serial Number 10/214,904, which Examiner Vincent also examined) and would mark them as reviewed in this case. The Examiner stated that he would like to put them on a PTO-892, and state in writing that he transferred the Information Disclosure Statements from the parent case to the

	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING										
Certified Mail No.:	700305000001	3234 <i>974</i> 9 Date:	December 22, 2004	<b>,</b>							
I hereby certify that	this correspondence	is being deposited in	n the U.S. Postal Service as Cert the Commissioner of Patents Ale	ified Mail							
22313-1450.	. /	$\mathcal{A}$									

Theresa M. Rimbey

child. He stated that (assuming there was no additional art), it was then unnecessary for the Applicant to file an Information Disclosure Statement for this case.

The Examiner and the Applicant's agent also discussed the allowability of claims 1-32, with minor amendments to claims 1 and 18, as well as the allowability of claims 33-46 and 101-113.

More specifically, during the telephone interview, the Examiner stated that he could make an Examiner's amendment to claims 1 and 18, which would make them allowable. The Examiner proposed the language "including one or more V-shaped or curved extensions" after "at least one downward extension". The Applicant's agent agreed to this amendment.

The Examiner stated that claims 47-74 were not patentable over Japanese reference 2001-80922 (Kokai). The Applicant's agent argued that the thermocouples in Kokai were not immersed in the glass stream, as claimed in claims 47-74 of the present application. The Examiner and the Applicant's agent did not come to a consensus about the allowability of these claims.

The Examiner stated that claims 75-78 were not patentable over Cortright. The Applicant's agent argued that the system in Cortright was static, while claims 75-78 are periodically adjusting. The Examiner and the Applicant's agent did not come to a consensus about the allowability of these claims.

The Examiner also stated that claim 79-98 were obvious over claim 55 of Serial Number 10/214,904, and that there were double patenting issues with respect to these claims. The Examiner stated that the double patenting rejection could be overcome by a terminal disclaimer. The Examiner and the Applicant's agent did not come to a consensus about the allowability of these claims.

The Examiner also stated that claims 99-100 were not patentable over Cortright. The Applicant's agent did not comment on the patentability of these claims over Cortright. The Examiner and the Applicant's agent did not come to a consensus about the allowability of these claims.

The Examiner offered to allow claims 1-46 and 101-113, make an Examiner's amendment to claims 1 and 18, as discussed above, and cancel the remaining claims, without prejudice for the Applicant to file the remaining claims in one or more divisional applications.

The Applicant's agent agreed to the amendments of claims 1 and 18, and to the cancellation of claims 47-100.

The Examiner then stated that he would make an Examiner's amendment to that effect, and issue a notice of allowance.

Applicant believes that this statement satisfies the requirements to file a Statement of the Substance of the Interview, and accurately represents the substance of the interview conducted. If the Examiner disagrees, or believes for any other reason that direct contact with Applicants' agent would advance the prosecution of the case to finality, he is invited to telephone the undersigned at the number given below.

"Recognizing that Internet communications are not secured, I hereby authorize the PTO to communicate with me concerning any subject matter of this application by electronic mail. I understand that a copy of these communications will be made of record in the application file."

Respectfully Submitted:

Richard B. Pitbladdo

M. 1- 1//

Meghan Van Leeuwen, Reg. No. 45,612

Agent for Applicant

BROWN & MICHAELS, P.C.

400 M&T Bank Building - 118 N. Tioga St.

Ithaca, NY 14850

(607) 256-2000 • (607) 256-3628 (fax)

e-mail: docket@bpmlegal.com

Dated: